

# Campuses support ASUC

By NINA PINSKY  
Managing Editor  
and TERESA CHENERY  
Reporter

Reactions from other University of California campuses have with regards to the Berkeley incidents of the last two days, have been primarily pro-students.

At an emergency student senate meeting held last night Legislative Assembly of the ASUCD defeated an 11-4 vote to endorse the demands of the strike committee at Berkeley. In addition the Leg Assembly defeated the proposal to endorse the ASUC senate resolution. This vote was 10-5.

The senate passed some of their own resolutions. 1. By a unanimous vote the assembly supports the stand of ASUC. "The Legislative Assembly of the ASUCD supports the stand of the ASUC senate insofar as that government defends the right of students to peacefully assert their cause."

2. They also support the proposition of the ASUC senate that the non-student rule shall not be differentially applied and

government and non-student groups treated with the same consideration.

3. Moreover, the assembly advises that the Berkeley administration consult the ASUC before taking action of major concern to the student body.

At UCLA, according to Mayer Resnick, a member of the Daily Bruin editorial board, "our student government has done nothing." A meeting will be held today, sponsored by VOICE, to discuss a sympathy strike. "Most likely a strike will be called," but because Dead Week is next week, and since most students will not be attending classes, "we don't know if the strike's success will be valid."

The AS Senate at the Santa Cruz campus voted 3-2 in a slight show of support of the student's actions at Berkeley.

A noon rally was held yesterday at Revelle Plaza, with 500 of the schools 2000 undergraduates attending. One hundred students were appointed to an ad hoc committee to determine the "best way to show their sympathy with the cause" (Continued on p. 3 col. 3)

# Students, administration engage in power struggle

Editor's Note: This story is written upon the return of Rich Zeiger who flew to Berkeley as special correspondent for EL GAUCHO.

By RICH ZEIGER  
Layout Editor

Students at Berkeley, with little more than a week until finals, boycotted classes yesterday in an attempt to win a power struggle with the administration.

With little evidence either way as to the effectiveness of the boycott, protesters marched in front of major classroom buildings and the Student Store in an attempt to win support for their cause.

A spot poll conducted by the Daily Californian indicated that of 56 classes 19 were cancelled, and 37 ran as usual without a large attendance drop.

The demonstrations echoed the events of two years ago both in terms of activities and in terms of the participants, Mario Savio, leader of the Free Speech

Movement is again de facto leader and symbol.

However this time the protesters have a much wider base of support. Not only the ASUC, led by President Dan McIntosh and members of PACT, the on-campus political party, but also the Council of Campus Organizations, campus chapter of the American Federation of Teachers #1510, and the T.A.'s Union, supported the strike.

The conflict doesn't seem to be solely over the current problem. Feeling runs high among the protesters that "student rights on this campus have deteriorated since 1964." "This is an explosion of something that has been building up for a long time." "It is the biggest thing since FSM."

## PICKETING

Amidst all the picketing and the rain, the Navy returned to camps, and again set up tables in front of the Student store. This time they were sponsored by the campus Navy ROTC club,

the Quarterdeck society. Also, tables by the opposing groups, manned by TA's and students remained unmolested in their attempt to gain support for the strike.

## POLICE

The bringing onto the campus of the Alameda County Police was one of incidents that most upset Berkeley students.

Signs called for "canning the Cops" and one picket felt that "if it hadn't been for those damn cops this strike might never have happened." Feeling was high that the arrests finalized the split between the administration and the students.

Much of the blame for the trouble also has been placed with Vice Chancellor Cheit and Vice Chancellor William Boyd who were accused of intensifying the situation by the action they took.

"Cheit called those police," exclaimed one barefoot picketer, "and he signed the war" (Continued on p. 3 col. 4)

# El Gaucho

Associated Students, University of California, Santa Barbara

# EXTRA!

Volume 47 - Number 43

Friday, December 2, 1966 PM FINAL

# LEG COUNCIL PASSES PRO-BERKELEY RESOLUTIONS

By JAN SHELTON  
Editor

UCSB's Legislative Council, in a 3-hour emergency session yesterday, considered the developments in the situation at the Berkeley campus during the last two days and passed six resolutions, all of which are essentially in support of points raised by the students at the northern campus.

The meeting, called for noon by petition of members of the Council following their appraisal during the night by means of the student newspaper of the situation as it happened, was attended by a capacity crowd of on-lookers.

## SPOKESMEN

The first resolution passed by the body, after discussion from on-campus political organization spokesmen, interested students and elected representatives endorsed "the principle that all groups presenting material on any UC campus must be either registered student organizations or sponsored by registered University organizations."

Jay Jeffcoat, A.S. President, stated that "the rule is that nonstudent tables must be manned by students--the Navy had preferential treatment. The only group that has tables in the student union is the military."

Dr. Stephen Goodspeed, Vice Chancellor, who acted for Chancellor Vernon I. Cheadle in his absence, further stated that "the representatives of the military have two privileges that are not granted to others, the right to appear on campus and the right to set up a table in the Student Union."

Jeffcoat, in response to a

question posed by Independent Representative Paul Bellin stated that "We are in consensus that the rules which were applied were applied correctly."

## ISSUES

Hubert Jessup, chairman of REACT, stated that the issues were three--first, who controls the student union? second, that the administration called the police to come, and third, the nonuniform application of the nonstudent rules.

Stephen Rittenberg characterized the issue as one of "administrative hypocrisy," which was to arrest the nonstudents on the grounds that they were agitators, when the students were also creating a disturbance. The rule, Rittenberg feels, was applied unequally.

Following this discussion, the Council passed 10-2 that "the Legislative Council of UCSB condemn the inequitable application of standing laws and rules to the students and non-students involved."

Appended to this was "For example, the application of state laws to nonstudents and the failure to apply university regulations to nonstudents."

Goodspeed urged the Council to state that in any vital interest the Associated Students should be consulted. Jeffcoat countered that "our first objection is to have ultimate control, but at least we should be consulted."

## PROPOSAL

The proposal was passed which stated "Be it resolved that the ASUCSB condemns the failure of the University administration to consult the Associated Students before

action on matters of vital interest to the Associated Students such as the administration's refusal to consult before calling in off-campus police."

KCSB-FM General Manager Rick Kendall cryptically remarked "At Berkeley they're trying to split the power between administration and students, here we have a working coalition between administration and students."

Bellin then moved to condemn the boycott now in effect on the Berkeley campus. But Jessup countered this by saying "To condemn the boycott is to condemn the whole reason we're here."

Vice President Greg Stamos stated "we shouldn't want to condemn this because we might want to use it as a future date." Bellin withdrew the motion.

The Council failed to either endorse or condemn the boycott. But a proposal which read "Be it resolved that the ASUCSB Legislative Council go on record as vehemently supporting the right of all students to peacefully protest their cause," was passed.

Jeffcoat commented to EL GAUCHO following the meeting "We are very much in support of the actions taken at Berkeley, we even support, as we explicitly state in our recommendations, the specific is-

sues questioned by the ASUC Senate."

He continued "We even feel that we extended our action beyond that of mere criticism to formulation of constructive proposals."

He explained his position on the Council's refusal to either condemn or support the boycott. "Council had been explicit enough in their support, and our prime concern that adequate information wasn't available to support boycotts. The military have two privileges that are not granted to others, the right to appear on campus and the right to set up a table in the Student Union."



KEY SPEAKERS at yesterday's emergency Leg Council meeting. --J.D. Strahler photos



# Editorial

## Stupidty

What happened yesterday? There were the cops on campus again, and there were arrests again, and there were speeches again and there were mobs again.

It was sickening, frightening, so utterly stupid, so incredible. A picket of a small table of three military officers resulted in almost instant confrontation, instant chaos, instant irrationality by administrators, students, and non-students.

The administration should never have called those clumsy cops on campus. The administration should never have allowed that Navy table down there. The administration should have granted amnesty to those student demonstrators, and it still should. But, then, administrators have never had any brains over and above how to "run" this or "run" that, so what's to be expected from such a bunch of machinists.

Were the students any brighter? Did they attempt to set up an anti-Navy table through any legitimate method? Did they attempt to get the Navy table removed in any rational manner? Did they realize, knowing how stupid administrators are and how particularly dumb our crops, that there would be anything other than arrests?

No. They picket, and when the table isn't removed they sit in. Apparently radicals are simply incapable of acting in any situation where there is not the immediate gratification of confrontation. The blood heats up at the sight of a cop. The scene on Bancroft yesterday with a surging sea, a mob of angry, booing, hating people surrounding some of the most brutal cops seems to show that things like that are not settled until the Revolution. There just seems no other way.

They've called for a strike--2,000 students voted overwhelmingly last night to try to stop this University--as the man once said, "stop the wheels" etc. They want amnesty and much more; and no doubt their demands are justified.

But if their demands are so justified and so well respected among the students here, our stupid administration should be allowed to have another chance. The trouble is that nobody here is ever given the benefit of the doubt: the administration is forever screwing this letter-writer or that public speaker. And by now we all have learned never to trust administrators because their minds work in little, tiny ways.

Conciliatory as usual, we urge no strike today. Earl F. Cheit ought to be given today to grant amnesty to all those students. And he ought to tell Frank Coakley, the county D.A., to drop charges against the non-students arrested; there ought also to be a hearing not only on the "facts" as perceived by Messrs. Boyd, Savio, Rubin, Cheit, et al, but a hearing on the issues.

The administration, as dumb and single-minded as it is, ought to be given a chance to see some light somewhere. The faculty, which no doubt woke up this morning to revolution over eggs, ought to be able to find out what happened--and the rest of the students, the other 25,500 ought to be able to determine what happened.

Then, and then only, should students consider stopping the University. Because if they plan to stop it and get what they want, they may have to wait longer than a one-day strike.

THE DAILY CALIFORNIAN

## A Plea for Action

We have just returned from the shortest trip to Berkeley we have ever taken.

We went there in the hopes that we could find out what was really going on. Mostly what we found out was that they don't know either.

Things happened very fast Wednesday. People sat in, people got clubbed, and people got arrested. Hardly anybody thought. Everybody acted.

Only yesterday did people start to appraise what they had done the day before.

And after that appraisal, several things became clearer.

The students have a good issue, several in fact.

They have the right to have control over their Student Center, paid for by student funds.

They have the right to remain unmolested by outside police forces on the campus.

They have the right to peacefully demonstrate.

They have the right to know when the administration plans to make exceptions to planned rules.

But what does all of this mean to UCSB?

The situations at the two campuses are very different. We have no trouble with non-students on this campus; we are isolated. Berkeley is a metropolitan campus.

The editorial from the Daily Californiaian, reprinted here, is a plea for moderation, less action. No one has ever accused Leg Council of taking too much action.

(Continued on p. 4 col. 3)



PHOTOS BY RICH ZEIGER AND J. D. STRAHLER

## Academic Senate reviews work

The academic life of each student at the University of California is profoundly affected by a deliberate and decision-making body known as the Academic Senate, which is composed of faculty and certain University officials.

In reviewing the work of this body during the past year, Dr. Mortimer Andron, chairman of the Senate at Santa Barbara and a professor of economics, calls special attention to action which:

- (1) Authorized experimentation with the "Passed/ Not Passed" grading system for certain elective courses.
- (2) Approved proposed pro-

grams leading to the B.S. degree in chemistry; M.A. in music performances, teaching, and the history of art; M.S. in counseling and guidance in the School of Education; and Ph.D. in dramatic arts and electrical engineering.

(3) Created a College of Engineering to take the place of the School of Engineering.

(4) Supported "in principle" creation of an experimental College which would focus attention on the liberal arts.

(5) Created two new language departments -- the department of French and Italian and the department of German and Russian - to replace the department of foreign languages and literature.

(6) Established an Institute of Religious Studies to encourage collaboration among scholars from various fields who are

engaged in research on religious topics.

## Letters Policy

Letters to the Editor are welcomed by EL GAUCHO, but, to be considered for publication letters should be typewritten with a 60 space line.

In order that we may print as many differing views as possible, letters should be under 20 lines long. Shorter letters will receive priority for publication.

Longer articles, intended for Open Forum, should be so marked. All correspondence must carry the name, major and year of the writer, but names will be withheld upon request.



# Hutchins sees 'suicide' in educational chaos

"Suicide" is where we are headed, in the opinion of Robert M. Hutchins, noted authority and innovator in education and President of Santa Barbara's Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions, if we do not reorganize the educational system and change our attitudes toward and expectations of education in American society." Speaking in Campbell Hall yesterday on the topic "Is Education Possible?" Hutchins pointed to the significantly increasing trend towards specialization in American education. "Vocational specialization has made education impossible. As it is now, education is a personnel system for business." It is a series of motions you go through to obtain a degree, which is used as a ticket for getting a job.

Hutchins feels the object of education "is to help us become human, and to help us use our minds." He illustrated this "opposite direction" with the advent of the "multiversity," which "can only produce specialized trainees. Wisdom and understanding will be impossible. Then democracy will be impossible."

The more things are specialized and set off from other

knowledge, the more they become isolated impersonal facts. Hutchins feels that bodies of knowledge must be related to one another, narrow subjects must be seen in a wider context if we are to arrive at anything humanly relevant.

Hutchins stated that in teaching this way, "The economic implications will be the same, but the intellectual and social implications will be different."

"Specialism is a dead end. It doesn't even understand its own specialized field . . . The university should be a center of criticism, independent thought, intellectual communication of men and women thinking together. You can't understand anything by thinking on one thing alone." In further regard to specialized training, he said, "Training is needed, but it should be given by businesses, corporations, or whoever needs it, but in the university this is not education."

"America is the richest, most powerful country in the world; this is their education system." Thus reasoned, American education must be the best, Hutchins pointed out this spreading misconception among other countries who reason, "If we do that, we will be rich and powerful too."

# Campuses favor demonstrators in Berkeley riots

(Continued from p. 1)

of the Berkeley protestors," stated Tom Rado, assistant editor of the SC Indicator.

The decision has been made to stage a strike of students and TA's and hopefully boycott classes next week.

Richard Moncreiff commented early yesterday, "My personal opinion is that I have reservations on the present issue because I don't know specifics because I don't know the acts of students and police."

He proposed that all ASUC student body presidents should meet together in a unified front on problems all campuses have in common. "I think this has been needed for a long time," explained Moncreiff.

However, late last night the ASUCSD senate voted to support a rally formed by an ad hoc committee if, by noon today, the demands passed by the ASUC haven't been accepted by the Administration.

The rally, in complying with UCSD rules, will be held in the Free Speech area and will then move silently to the Chancellor's office where a petition will be presented vocing student support of the ASUC senate.

Explained Howard Schwartz, spokesman for the ad hoc committee, "we have no grievances with our administration. The matter of a sympathy strike has not yet been considered."

Irvine's Ant Hill editor Phil Pearlman said of the incidents, "even the campus SDS (Students for a Democratic Society) hasn't said anything. On this campus I wouldn't expect much."

At Stanford University, AS President David Harris "considers the action by the students at UCB to be an outrageous dissent of the rights of free speech and equality under the law. I support them as wholeheartedly as any individual 50 miles away can. If the officers at UCB and the state care as much for freedom and education as those students sitting in, none of this would have happened."

The Stanford Daily has said, though, that although students were spiritually supporting the strike, everyone is in mid-terms and they were afraid to come out with any definite acts or statements.

## News analysis

Berkeley has still not gotten over 1964 when such statements as the following were made: "Constitutional rights should not be denied when a student steps on campus." (Evan Alderman and Mike Golden)

Since that fateful fall of two years ago the danger signs have come and gone: Mario Savio fighting for readmission; reports by Regents, congressional watchdogs, and housewives on the Berkeley scene; students and Marine recruiters punching it out. . .

And now, is it so surprising that trouble has erupted again? There is the pressure of the new, unfamiliar, unpopular quarter system.

There is the frustration with the knowledge that the Student Center is not that much a Student Center.

Finally, there is war pressure. Students at Berkeley who are already sensitive to the problem of nonstudent activism and off-campus politics can be expected to take a jaundiced view of Navy recruiters freely using the Student (?) Center to plug "LBJ's folly."

Can you blame them??

JOHN MAYBURY  
News Editor

# Rep, REACT leader deride Council action

By JOHN MAYBURY  
News Editor

At least two long faces emerged out of Thursday's emergency Leg Council meeting to take UCSB deeper into a commitment on the Berkeley protests.

Toni Grim, Independent Rep, derided Council's actions as "wishy-washy."

"Council seems to feel they have taken a stand on this issue, but I think if anyone examines Berkeley's Senate's motion they will see that UCSB Council didn't address themselves to support or defeat of the strike," she commented on Council's official report on their session. "It was a real chance missed," she said.

## PETITION

Hubert Jessup, REACT chairman, felt equally distressed with Leg Council and is petitioning on campus today to support Berkeley's boycott, demanding among other things that student leaders be consulted when off-campus police are to be summoned.

The petition will also support the belief that the Student Center at Cal should be student controlled, and that charges against Wednesday's protestors be dropped because they were applied discriminatively.

Secondly, Jessup plans to revise the format of a previously arranged Vietnam peace rally at noon today and devote the bulk of it to discussion of the Berkeley situation. "Whoever speaks will object. . . and bring out the issues not

answered by Leg Council," he said.

Jessup's simple analysis of Leg Council's failing is that they "didn't condemn the Berkeley boycott, they didn't support it." Council's grab-bag statement substantially agreed with Cal's Senate only on the point about undifferential treatment of non-students.

"They didn't hit the central issue," he criticized. "They missed the point of the protest."

Miss Grim's point was that Council, less out of political caution and more out of unwillingness or inability to recognize issues and take a stand on them, did not act decisively.

## PUSH STUDENTS

"I sort of knew last night they would just kick it around," but she herself is not favorable toward Jessup's petition and rally which she terms "ineffective."

However, his rationale is that the mass of UCSB students haven't been properly represented by Leg Council. "Why not take it to the students? This trusteeship thing has gone too far."

His answer to Miss Grim's objection that students here don't want to express their opinion was vehemently negative. "They need to be pushed."

Miss Grim lays much blame on Council for not showing as much interest in finding out the facts Wednesday night, but she is equally critical of non-student involvement at Berkeley and the hurt it may cause President Kerr.

# Power struggle observed

(Continued from p. 1)

rents for the arrests, he's admitted that." "Boyd could have solved the problem before it began; all he had to do was promise that he would try to get Willie (Brandt, the first to be arrested) out of jail."

## CHEIT

Cheit, at the rally held in the Pauley Ballroom, said that he ordered the non-students arrested because they took the "key role" in the sit-down, and were "talking down conciliation efforts."

Boyd stated at the time of the sit-in that the arrest was out of his hands since charges had not been filed by the university. But it was pointed out by Savio that two years ago the University had acted to get charges removed, and had

promised that no disciplinary action would be taken.

The situation, at last word was the same as when this correspondent left Berkeley. Students still march in front of the Student store, and the administration has not given in on any more student demands.

A possible change in the attitudes of both students and administration might be indicated by the meeting now (12:30 a.m.) being held between the two parties.

But things still remain very much up in the air. The ASUC has resisted an attempt to rescind its earlier motion endorsing a strike. And pickets slowly drifted away from the Student Union steps as it began to get dark. Everyone is waiting, nobody knows, what will happen today.

# Rioters trample Pauley Ballroom

By SUZY CARTER  
City Editor

Over 2,000 Berkeley students mobbed Pauley Ballroom late Wednesday night and voted to stage yesterday's strike against the University.

The near-unanimous decision culminated a tumultuous day in which police violence was pitted against student force, and the Berkeley student body and administration underwent an unprecedented rift.

Mario Savio, leader of the 1964 Free Speech Movement, was the final speaker at the Pauley assembly. He insisted that the only way students could win the administration to their side was by their power of "coercion."

Vice-chancellor William Boyd simultaneously urged peaceful negotiation of the dispute, begging students to attend a concurrent faculty and administration meeting in Wheeler Auditorium.

Students, however, made it clear that no alternative to strike would be feasible.

The issue at stake involved the right of non-students to man display tables in the Student Union. An anti-draft committee, manned by a non-student, was set up Wednesday next to a U.S. Navy recruitment table.

Administration officials protested the table set up by the

off-campus group and student violence erupted.

The demonstrations were felt by many students to be the culmination of long-standing conflicts between the students and administration. They claimed that the Heyns administration has been "carving up student rights" since it came into power.

Earl F. Cheit, executive vice-chancellor, defended his act of calling police to the scene because he claimed a sit-in in the Student Union was "initiated and led by non-students in direct defiance of University regulations."

Cheit blamed the anti-draft group for failure to contact the university administration before setting up the display table.

He claimed that the original arrest charge of student William Brandt for battery was by a private individual and out of University jurisdiction.

ASUC First Vice-President Fred Best denied that the ASUC had ever granted permission for the Navy recruitment table.

Wednesday the ASUC Senate, largely composed of members of the radical campus political party PACT, strongly endorsed a student strike to boycott classes if the administration didn't comply with student demands.

Last night, a Student Senate motion to rescind that endorsement went before the floor, and was defeated by a 13-7 vote.

## REACT rally at noon today

There will be a rally today at noon, sponsored by REACT to explain and support the Berkeley strike and to show the weaknesses of Leg Council's position. A petition will be circulated asking student support of the strike. If enough students support the petition and what is said at the rally, there will be a sympathy boycott of afternoon classes, according to Hubert Jessup.

## 'freak-out'

Here we are at one oops 3:30 in the goddam morning at whereinthe world but Don the Printer's printshop. Looking around at all the idiots who are awake at this forsaken hour typing and printing and et cetera-ing we see Rich the fly-boy, Suzy Sweatshirt, Nina driving back and forth, Dave gotta have more space, and Jan this-couldbethelasttime. You think you're crazy!



EL  
Gaucho



EDITOR . . . . . Jan Shelton  
MANAGING EDITOR . . . . . Nina Pinsky  
CITY EDITOR . . . . . Suzy Carter  
NEWS EDITOR . . . . . John Maybury  
SPORTS EDITOR . . . . . Dave Hyams  
COPY EDITOR . . . . . Connie Finster  
LAYOUT EDITOR . . . . . Rich Zeiger  
ARTS EDITOR . . . . . Dale Luciano  
ASSISTANT SPORTS EDITOR . . . . . Chris Farrow  
DAY EDITOR . . . . . Bill Jenkins  
ADVERTISING MANAGER . . . . . Gayle Kerr  
PUBLICATIONS DIRECTOR . . . . . Joe Kovach  
Published on Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday during the school year, except during vacations and exam periods, by the Associated Students, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara, 93106. Entered as second-class matter on Nov. 20, 1951, at Goleta, Calif. 93017, under the act of March 3, 1879.



## Overtime hassle, but Sig Eps in semifinals

By CHRIS FARROW  
Ass't. Sports Editor

In what just may turn out to be the biggest let-down since football players began hanging flags from their sides, Sigma Phi Epsilon defeated Lambda Chi Alpha yesterday by, of all things, losing less yardage on five downs.

It was Intramural Director Sandy Geuss on the spot when regulation time ran out with the duel deadlocked at 6-6 in the playoff of what has been the greatest IM football race in history.

With the sun setting, it was decided that each club be given five cracks at the ball on offense, with the team compiling the most yardage given the right to move into the semi-finals of the school championship today against Humboldt-Lassen.

Lambda Chi picked up 20 on Bill Kringlen's pass to left end Larry Dierker, but Sig Ep defensive end Dan Wood caught Kringlen behind the line twice, on second and fourth downs, and the yellow-clad Lambda Chi's ended their efforts by netting negative yardage.

Sigma Phi Epsilon then went on offense, but it was all over but the final whistle. All the Sig Eps had to do was lose less yardage--one helluva way to end the most exciting flag football race this campus has ever seen.

Technically there was no other way to end the game, and Geuss defended his decision.

"There was nothing else we could do. A sudden-death situation

would not have worked. It was getting dark very fast--there would have been no easy way to decide when to stop play.

"That left the only other alternative of playing it off today, but the football fields are in use up the time when the playoffs start. Also, forcing the winner to play twice in one day would create an unfair injury problem possibility."

The race for the campus championship continues today, with Monday set as the culmination point: the All-School playoff. The Sig Eps face Humboldt-Lassen, the dorm champs, while the Deltas meet the Fifth Floor of Francisco Towers.

Both games start at 4 p.m.

Editor's Note: It seems a crime, a mar in what otherwise has been a highly successful season of flag football, that two teams should battle ten weeks for a championship and then have it decided on the basis of ten plays, with the yardage leader being the victor.

Sandy Geuss made the only decision he could have.

However, such a condition should not occur again. Some alternatives must be constructed--perhaps a sudden death overtime for three or six minutes or a sudden death situation the next day. Thursday's manner was no way to end a ten-week football race.

# Gauchos open in a hurry dump Mustangs, 82-72

By DAVE HYAMS  
Sports Editor

Coach Ralph Barkey's record stands at a perfect 1-0 following the Gauchos' 82-72 thumping of Cal Poly last night in the basketball opener in Robertson Gym.

Dick Kolberg, the 6-8 forward gunning for All-Coast honors, swished through 32 points to pace all scoring, followed by sophomore Leroy Jackson with

17.

For a while, Santa Barbara was eyeing a shutout, for the Gauchos rolled up 11 points before the visiting Mustangs found the scoreboard almost four minutes into the game. Five and a half minutes were gone prior to the first Poly field goal.

Until the intermission, the home quintet dominated the play, once building a 24-point bulge at 46-22, and settling

for a 48-30 halftime margin.

Kolberg was well on his way at the break, with 17 points and eight of his 17 rebounds. Jackson, whose hot hand poured in seven straight at one point, had 13 markers and seven of his eventual 16 rebounds.

Barkey kept things under control in the second stanza, substituting freely and using only one of the team's four offenses.

"We didn't want to show Fresno or Seattle anything fancy," the new mentor commented after the game. "That explains in part why the boys got cold in the second half -- they were running the same patterns over and over and naturally got stale."

"We did a real job on the board," continued Barkey, and indeed they did. The Gauchos swept the rebounding, 62-40, with an especially impressive 26 offensive grabs.


Tomorrow night the Gauchos travel to Fresno State, and return home next Saturday to host the Seattle Chieftans, the powerhouse of the northwest.

## Frosh win, too

Breaking open a fairly close game with a second-half scoring splurge, UCSB's frosh basketballers romped to a season-opening 79-59 victory over the yearlings from Cal Poly.

Bob Emery, the prized guard from San Carlos, led the scoring parade with 24 points while 6-7 center Ron Rouse followed with 20 and forward Bob Marshall with 17.

Despite the Gaucho team victory, the top performance was by Mustang Joel Black, who scored 32.



DRIVING THE LANE--Co-captain Howie Demmelmaier, who chipped in 12 points, drives for an unsuccessful, though flashy, layup.

## A Plea for Action

(Continued from p. 2)

We have an administration that will bend over backward to cooperate with the students. And there is no tradition of protest to follow on this campus.

The administration at Berkeley and the students never seem to be able to take any action without coming into conflict with each other. Much of the fault lies with an administration that has slowly tried to regain a concept that was lost in 1964--that students should study and not complain and not protest.

However, much to the surprise of an EL GAUCHO staff that stayed up all night mimeographing, and to the credit of a couple of Council members who are not complete watersops, Leg Council went into special session to discuss the problem.

Alas, they came back with resolutions that could only please a professor of a freshman course on freedom of expression.

What Leg Council failed to notice, or perhaps couldn't notice because of the different atmospheres of the two campuses, was that these same issues, at least some of them, are issues that belong to our campus also.

For some time we have complained about the operation of our Student Center. We have also complained about the student voice.

We pay for our center, through the University Center fee paid every quarter and by supporting it.

Except for gifts, students are paying off the loans put forth by the regents to construct the building. We are doing this through the University Center Fee collected with incidental fees each quarter and through paying for its maintenance by bringing our business to the center.

Why then don't we have a stronger voice in its operation?

Admittedly much has been done that the students have requested, such as the issue over tables. And it has been done peacefully.

We know what's really going on.

Our administration would always ask us before they took action on any issue that affected the students. But would we know it if they didn't?

We don't do anything here that any administration could object to.

The Daily Californian is making a plea for more moderation on a campus full of radicals.

We are making a plea for more radicalism on a campus full of moderates.

RICH ZEIGER  
Layout Editor

## EXCLUSIVE!

PAUL VALLERGA, safety, and defensive guard CORKY BARRETT are 1st team All-Coasters

## Interested

in a  
Career  
in  
RETAIL  
STORE

## MANAGEMENT?

The W. T. Grant Company offers men an interesting and challenging opportunity in its MANAGEMENT TRAINING PROGRAM to prepare for store management and later executive positions in a rapidly expanding company, unusually high income potential, good starting salary. First assignment in or near your home town. Interviews Thursday, December 8th Register at your placement office and request a copy of

"You can grow with the growing Grant Co."

Revere-Mincom Division **3M** COMPANY  
300 SOUTH LEWIS ROAD • CAMARILLO, CALIFORNIA 93010

## SENIOR & GRADUATE ENGINEERS

Investigate Career Opportunities in one of the Southlands' most beautiful areas!

Situated on a 73-acre site nestled within Camarillo California's beautiful Pleasant Valley, where we employ some 600 personnel, Revere-Mincom is one of 3 M's newest and most progressive Divisions. We are engaged principally in the research, development and manufacture of high-performance magnetic instrumentation record/reproduce equipment for complex space data acquisition and analysis: electron recorders, drop-out compensators, and related video equipment for the Television industry; and industrial data acquisition and digital control systems and instruments oriented toward industrial process control and quality assurance. (We are NOT a defense contractor).

On Tuesday, December 6th, we shall be interviewing at the Student Placement Center to discuss Career Opportunities in:

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING  
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING  
PROCESS & INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING  
QUALITY CONTROL ENGINEERING  
MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

SIGN UP NOW !

an equal opportunity employer