

ELECTION EXTRA!

THE EAGLE

VOL. 3.

MONDAY, MAY 19, 1924

NO. 16

VOTERS ATTENTION

DELAYED ELECTION SET FOR THURSDAY

The election of student body officers for the coming year will be held this Thursday according to President Keeler. The election has been delayed until some action might be taken regarding the proposed amendments. Although petitions have been in circulation some time only about half the offices have more than one candidate.

Two candidates are in the race for presidency, Rene LeFevre and Jesse Hawley. LeFevre is an active member of the present council as publicity manager and has spent a year of service in this capacity. Hawley is the present president of the Junior class and is also president of the Social Science Club.

Miss Jessie Lemmon, secretary, is the only candidate for the vice-presidency. The office of secretary is being sought by Ester Janssens, treasurer of the Freshman class, and Anna Lincoln, who has taken an active part in all student affairs. Carl Gorman, president of the Men's Club, is the sole seeker for the position of treasurer.

Due to the withdrawal of Allan Keim, Alvin Baranov, manager of tennis, is the only candidate for men's athletics. Lucile Dexter and Marjorie Granger are up for women's athletic manager.

Lewis Peters is the only one running for the office of publicity manager. Ted Ellsworth, manager of La Cumbre and the Eagle, aspires to the office of editor of La Cumbre. Barbara Dewlaney is the sole seeker for the editorship of the Eagle.

President Keeler urges all to vote and to consider well our choice.

PRESENT PROPOSALS SEEM INADEQUATE

Too little time and consideration has been given to changing the student body constitution to warrant immediate voting upon these measures which will have a permanent effect upon the student body. Obviously our constitution needs many changes and needs them badly. But are we not making matters worse by our haphazard attack? Of course, the argument that we have allowed matters to drag too long is a good one and can not be denied. Such is the trouble exactly, these proposals have been under consideration by the council for some time, separate committees have endeavored to bring order out of chaos but to no avail, the proposed amendments are an absolute jumble. Student body officials are at a loss to know how to make these amendments presentable. In order to vote intelligently we as members of a student body need to understand the amendments. We are told to look into the matter, but if the student body council, a

A MESSAGE FROM PRESIDENT KEELER

The struggle we have had this year to carry on the activities that are necessary to a college of our size, a struggle not only to maintain them but to also keep them on a collegiate level, has decisively proved one thing: **WE NEED MORE MONEY.**

Athletics are our most important activity and hence need the most money. When it became evident that some plan must be formulated to raise more money to carry on the activities next year, our athletics naturally received our first attentions. The Athletic Board of Control Plan was submitted to the Council at its regular meeting. A committee was appointed to draft the necessary constitutional amendments that would avoid conflicting statements in the constitution (if this plan were adopted). When word reached other students that a change was proposed in the constitution an avalanche of proposals came in from every activity. There was no time to whip

these proposals into shape before the Student Body meeting, nor was there any constitutional way of withholding them. The President's duty was to present them, and they were presented, but in a way that no one but the drafters could understand. Likewise it will be the President's duty to see they are voted on Tuesday. It will be the duty of the Student Body, if the amendments are not understood, to vote them down.

We are not merely changing the constitution, we are going on record as favoring or opposing certain fundamental principles, that are far too important to rush thru in two short weeks. Our duty is, at present, to see that good officers are chosen for next year, then revise the constitution to meet the demands of our rapid growth.

(Signed)
HERBERT F. KEELER,
President of the Associated Student Body.

SOPHS. ENTITLED TO HOLD OFFICES

Among the proposed changes in the constitution is an item which so far has received little general attention. According to the present constitution there is a provision which makes it possible for lower classmen to hold office as editor or manager of the weekly or annual, providing that they exhibit exceptional competence to hold office. The proposed change limits the positions to Juniors and Seniors, and will take precedence, if accepted, over any other provision already in the constitution.

If passed this change may result in a divided Student Body. The Junior College students are here only as Freshmen and Sophomores, and would if the change goes through be eliminated from such offices. It is reasonable to suppose that such a situation would result in considerable dissatisfaction. It is possible that the Junior College would feel justified in withdrawing from the Student Body and forming their own organization. Such a thing is entirely undesirable for the interests of the school. It would mean a definite division of the school and more than anything else this school needs unity.

As for the competence of Sophomore Junior College Students to hold offices of the Student Body, it is only necessary to look at our present council. There are several students already holding office, among them the editor and manager of La Cumbre, who have only Sophomore standing. Frequently it is the Junior College student who has been trained in high school for work such as

(Continued on Page Two)

VOTE TUESDAY ON AMENDMENTS

Tomorrow during the fourth period at the regular student body meeting action is to be taken regarding the various proposed amendments of the student body constitution.

The idea of making some changes in the constitution started action a few weeks ago when Coach Gilliland introduced a proposition called the Board of Control which concerns the managing of men's and women's athletics.

About the time that the Board of Control plan was given to the student body council for consideration another plan was advocated by some student members which affected the constitution only to the extent of changing the amount of student body dues.

Both plans were taken up and discussed by the council and it was decided that a committee be appointed to meet with Coach Gilliland and Miss Weage for the purpose of preparing a definite plan to be submitted to the student body as a whole for final discussion. The committee met, discussed both plans and decided to refer the matter back to the council recommending that both plans with the addition of a third plan, which was an extraction of both original plans, be submitted to the student body for an open meeting discussion. A student body meeting was called, the plans read and discussed and conclusion made that each plan be posted and then voted upon at a later date.

REAL OPINIONS, AND OPINIONS

At a time like the present, when there are a maze of amendments before the Student Body, every student has some opinion in regard to them. Many students not cognizant of the actual conditions in the Student Body confidently assert that no changes are necessary; changes in dues, etc.

The biggest single piece of legislation that can come before the Student Body is the plan that embodies the increase in the Student Body dues. In this issue there appears a statement that we should stand pat, since our present conditions are all right. The ONE hope for a better year lies in the plan of doubling the Student Body dues; our activities can not continue without materially increased support. The writer has served the Student Body this year in two official capacities and is well acquainted with the almost hopeless financial condition of the Student Body. **IT WILL BE UNFAIR TO ELECT NEW OFFICERS FOR NEXT YEAR WITHOUT GIVING THEM ENOUGH FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO CA-**

(Continued on Page Two)

small competent group which is vastly interested in all changes to said constitution, can arrive at no definite conclusion, certainly the student body as a whole with little or no knowledge of such conditions can never hope to settle the questions satisfactorily.

In an attempt to remedy present unsatisfactory conditions regarding finances and athletics, two plans are being set forth with no alternatives but to vote for one or the other or to vote both plans down which will leave us just as we are now in a most unsatisfactory state. Do either of the plans for increase in student body finances meet the sole needs of the association?

The entire constitution needs revising, but it can not be revised by a half interested student body in the haphazard manner in which certain factions of the student body have endeavored to railroad the proposed changes through. A competent committee should be appointed to revise the constitution in such a manner that there can be no mistake in regard to just what is being changed, and how, and why.

Let's take time to consider in what way and to what extent we are providing for our progress next year. Abandon the present proposals, appoint a committee to draw up and submit in clear, concise form the needed changes. Let the committee present their proposals explaining the good and bad points with some degree of level headedness and uniformity. Allow the student members time to express their views and so make a wise choice in amending the student body constitution.

Yours for deliberation,
BARBARA DELWLANEY.

THE EAGLE

Owned and published semi-monthly by the Associated Student Body, Santa Barbara State Teachers' College and Junior College, Santa Barbara, California.

WHY THIS ISSUE

The purpose of this issue of The Eagle is to express student opinion concerning proposed amendments of the Student Body Constitution, and the election of Student Body officers, who will serve next term.

The various proposed amendments have their merits as well as demerits. Owing to the great effect that they would have on Student Body activities, it is obvious that they should be given thorough consideration. Experience has proven to our satisfaction that Student Body functions here are lacking for the promotion of better social union.

The heads of activities, composing the Council, have done their best to the ultimate limit that financial backing afforded. Some of our activities have been made possible this term due to the persistent efforts of the business manager.

Let us, as a whole, back up, with the necessary financial aid, the officers we elect and show them our good will and spirit of co-operation.

Our condition is not static. We must progress. Let us vote for changes that would promote the interests of our Student Body welfare.

PAUL M. CRADLER,
Editor of The Eagle.

AN AMENDMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS

After hearing the arguments for and against the amendments to the student body constitution relating to athletics and student body fees and giving the matter considerable thought I can frankly say I am not wholly in favor of either plan.

The amendment incorporating the board of athletic control originated from one of the faculty members. My objection to it is in Article 7 which reads: "The director of physical education shall be responsible for all financial affairs." In other words it takes the student body money out of student body hands and puts it in the keeping of a faculty member where it does not belong. This money is student body money, and the student body should have the right to say when, where, and how it is to be spent.

The other amendment embodying the athletic council plan was suggested by two student body members. The part relating to augmenting the student body fee to \$8 was presented by Mr. Ted Ellsworth, and the part designed to keep student body money under control of the student body was suggested by myself.

The part I object to in this amendment is the \$8 student body fee.

There are too many of our students who have to save and scrimp to make both ends meet. Not to give this point considerable attention before you vote on it would work a hardship on many of them, for either of these plans will mean an additional monetary outlay to many which would entail a great sacrifice.

The plan calling for a straight \$8.00 student body fee and \$1.50 for registration fee is simple enough to understand. The other is a little more complicated. For men the fee would be physical education, \$5.00; student body, \$3.50; and registration, \$1.50; a total of \$10.00. For women the fee would be physical education \$3.00; student body, \$3.50; registration \$1.50; a total of \$8.00; and the admission to any games played by the college teams would be additional.

There is no doubt that student body needs more money. The budgets of all the activities were so cut this year as to seriously cripple some of them. The social activities were comparatively nil for a college due to a lack of

STAND PAT

I am in favor of some sort of an athletic board of control. Such plans have worked well in other colleges no larger than this one. However, the present plan does not appear to fully answer the situation at this college hence the opposition to it.

Because we need more money in the student body treasury for another year is hardly sufficient grounds on which to base an amendment to double the present \$4.00 fee.

There will be a natural increase in enrollment next year and with the student body fund swelling proportionately, at least for the first semester, student activities would not be seriously handicapped. A committee could be at work devising some plan that would more nearly correct the situation which could be put in operation for the second semester.

Since there is no need, why act hastily? The present athletic board of control plan does not appear to answer the situation. Raising the student body fee from \$4.00 to \$8.00 a semester is absurd. Why not STAND PAT, do the job up right, in college fashion, next year.

FRANCIS W. NOEL.

funds. La Cumbre, the college annual, had to go out and beg for money, and there was serious doubt during the year as to whether or not the Eagle would be able to weather the financial storm and make its twice a month appearance.

In view of these facts I do not think it would be asking the student body too much to raise the student body fee to \$6.00, this to include admission to all games, plus the registration fee of \$1.50, making a total of \$7.50 for every one.

However, which ever amendment you think is best, study thoroughly and if you do not like them get up and say so and give us all an idea of what you want.

Respectfully yours,
RENE P. LEFEVRE.

The men students of the San Jose State College are intending to organize a branch of the Y. M. C. A. at their college.

A number of teachers from San Diego, Pasadena and other southern towns are coming to summer school here at college.

EVERYTHING FOR ATHLETICS, OR A FAIR SHARE FOR EVERYBODY?

As the time for the elections draws near it becomes of increasing importance that the members of the Student Body get a complete understanding of the amendments that are to be voted on. The Athletic Board of Control Plan and the Combination Plan of the Athletic Board of Control and the Increased Student Body Dues occupy the center of the electoral stage. These two Plans are perhaps the two most important pieces of legislation that have come before the Student Body in many years; if either plan is passed it will greatly affect the future of the State College, for better or for worse.

We may begin with an analysis of the Combination Plan of the Board of Control and the increased Dues. Under this plan each student would pay Student Body Dues of \$8.00 a semester plus the regular \$1.50 registration fee. Granting that we will again have 300 students each semester, there would be paid \$4800 in Student Body Dues. Deducting 10 per cent of this amount for the sinking fund or \$480 there would be left \$4320 to be budgeted to the various activities of the Campus. This money might be budgeted as follows:

1. Men's Athletics	\$2000.00
2. La Cumbre	1000.00
3. The Eagle	500.00
4. Women's Athletics	400.00
5. Social Committee	400.00

Total

\$4300.00
In practically every instance the above budget DOUBLES the appropriations budgeted this year. The result of the doubled moneys will be reflected in such benefits as a WEEKLY Eagle, a larger La Cumbre, twice the number of parties and dances, more and more COLLEGIATE athletics, etc. Then in addition this combination plan gives the Student Body a General Athletic Manager who is responsible to the Student Body for an accounting of the expenditure of THEIR money. The other plan does not do this; no one is responsible to the Student Body.

The Athletic Board of Control Plan, on the other hand, takes money from the Student Body and also takes Athletics out of the hands of the Student Body where they rightfully belong. This plan makes every man out for athletics pay an Athletic Fee of \$5.00 a semester; every woman out for athletics (or gym) pay a fee of \$3.00 a semester; it provides for a Student Body Fee of \$3.50 a semester plus the regular \$1.50 a semester registration fee. THEN under this plan the members of the Student Body would have to pay admission to all GAMES which would run to about \$5.00 a year at the minimum. The money paid by Student Body dues under this plan would be \$2100.00 (300 students paying \$3.50 each semester). This money could be budgeted as follows:

1. Deduct 10 per cent sinking fund \$210.00 leaving	\$1890.00.
2. La Cumbre	\$1000.00
3. The Eagle	500.00
4. Social Committee	400.00

Total

\$1900.00
Now on the surface of these two sets of figures it seems as though there is very little difference in the support of the non-athletic activities. BUT, here is the rub. There would not be enough men out for athletics at \$5.00 a semester to provide the necessary money for carrying on athletics, and by the Board of Control Plan the Board could obtain more money from the money paid for Student Body Dues, thereby reducing the support nominally intended for NON-ATHLETIC activities. To obtain the \$2000.00 that the Eight Dollar plan gives to Men's Athletics, there would have to be 200 out for athletics each semester. This is obviously impossible, for there are not even 100 men here this year, let alone 100 out for athletics each semester. Therefore it is reasonable to conclude that the Eight Dollar Plan gives the most support to all activities on the campus. The cost to the student of the two plans is best tabulated in the table printed below:

Cost to Men Students per Semester					
	Reg.Fee	S.B.Dues	Ath.Fees	Admsn.	Total
1. Eight Dollar Plan.....	1.50	8.00	None	None	9.50
2. Board of Control Plan 1.50	1.50	3.50	5.00	2.50	12.50

Cost to Women Students per Semester					
	Reg.Fee	S.B.Dues	Ath.Fees	Admsn.	Total
1. Eight Dollar Plan.....	1.50	8.00	None	None	9.50
2. Board of Control Plan 1.50	1.50	3.50	3.00	2.50	10.50

The above figures show conclusively that the Eight Dollar Plan is the cheapest in cost to the student; the foregoing discussion aims to set forth the other advantages of the Eight Dollar Plan. Therefore if the Student Body wants to see a free and equal apportionment of its moneys it should vote for the Eight Dollar Plan. Tomorrow's elections should set forth a precedent for coming S. B. C. Student Bodies; we should go definitely on record as favoring no plan that takes Student Body money out of Student Body Control. VOTE FOR THE EIGHT DOLLAR PLAN.

(Signed) TED ELLSWORTH.

SOPHS' ENTITLED TO HOLD OFFICE

(Continued from Page One)

editor of the Eagle or La Cumbre involves. It stands to reason that a student of Letters and Science would be more apt to be interested in such things than the Community Mechanics or Home Economics student.

It is to be hoped that the Student Body will consider this question well. Shall the Junior College and the General Professional students, who are here only one semester longer than the Junior College students, be constitutionally prevented from holding the position of editor or manager, regardless of their ability?

MARGARET BURKE.

REAL OPINIONS, AND OPINIONS

(Continued from Page One)

PABLY DISCHARGE THE DUTIES OF THEIR OFFICES. Therefore, when you vote on the various amendments tomorrow, do not let the opinions of those who are not acquainted with the true conditions influence your vote.

Some students advise us to vote down any plan for raising money until next year; BUT they overlook the fact that next year will be too late to benefit the coming year. WHY POSTPONE PROGRESS FOR A WHOLE YEAR-

(Signed) TED ELLSWORTH,
Business Manager of The Eagle and La Cumbre.